Transfer Hydro-dehalogenation of Organic Halides Catalyzed by Ruthenium(II) Complex

Tingjie You, Zhenrong Wang, Jiajia Chen, and Yuanzhi Xia*®

College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A simple and efficient Ru(II)-catalyzed transfer hydrodehalogenation of organic halides using 2-propanol solvent as the hydride source was reported. This methodology is applicable for hydrodehalogenation of a variety of aromatic halides and α -haloesters and amides without additional ligand, and quantitative yields were achieved in many cases. The potential synthetic application of this method was demonstrated by efficient gram-scale transformation with catalyst loading as low as 0.5 mol %.



1. INTRODUCTION

Organic halides are widely used as solvents and starting materials for numerous chemical transformations, and the efficient formation of C-X (X = halogen atom) bonds has been actively studied by organic chemists. However, many organic halides are classified as pollutants due to their persistent deleterious effects.¹ Thus, the dehalogenation is equally important from an environmental perspective. The catalytic hydro-dehalogenation represents an efficient approach for transforming C-X bonds into C-H bonds.² While traditionally the H₂ is used as the hydride source in such transformations, simpler processes under transfer hydrogenation conditions have been developed in recent years.⁴ In this regard, great progress has been made by homogeneous catalytic systems of palladium,^{4,5} rhodium,⁶ iron,⁷ ruthenium,⁸ and other transition metals.9 However, one drawback of existing methods is that phosphine or other auxiliary ligands, which are toxic, airsenstitive, and difficult to synthesis, are generally required for efficient transformation. Hence, development of a novel catalytic process for efficient hydro-dehalogenation is highly desirable.

In recent years, a Ru(II) complex in the form of $[RuCl_2(p-cymene)]_2$ has been found to be highly effective for catalyzing a number of organic transformations, and the C–X bonds are tolerable in many of these reactions.¹⁰ More recently, interesting regioselective C–H bond halogenations under Ru catalysis were disclosed by several groups,^{11–13} including the $[RuCl_2(p-cymene)]_2$ -catalyzed *meta*-brominations of 2-phenyl-pyridines by the groups of Huang¹¹ and Greaney¹² (Scheme 1a). While previous reports seem to suggest that the C–X bonds are inert under the effect of $[RuCl_2(p-cymene)]_2$ complex, we proposed that the reverse transformation should be possible under certain conditions. Herein an efficient Ru(II)-catalyzed transfer hydro-dehalogenation of aromatic halides, α -haloesters, and α -haloamides is reported (Scheme 1b) in which the cheap and commercially available $[RuCl_2(p-cymene)]_2$ is







used as the catalyst combined with 2-propanol acting both as solvent and hydrogen donor.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our study started with the condition optimization for transformation of 2-bromobenzamide (1a) into benzamide (2a) (Table 1). Previous studies found that the formic acid and its salts are reliable hydride donors for transfer-hydrogenation reactions.¹⁴ The reaction of 1a was initially conducted using 2.5 mol % of [RuCl₂(cymene)]₂ as the catalyst in the presence of 0.5 mL of HCOOH/Et₃N (molar ratio 5:2) as the hydrogen donor and 0.5 mL of H₂O as solvent at 100 °C for 12 h, and the desired hydrodebromination product was obtained in 46% yield (entry 1). Following this result, different conditions were screened to improve the efficiency of the reaction. While only a trace amount of product was observed when [RuI₂(*p*-cymene)]₂ was used as the catalyst (entry 2), the 2a could be afforded in 71% yield by reaction at a higher catalyst loading (5

Received: September 18, 2016 Published: December 22, 2016

Table 1. Screening of the Reaction Conditions^a

\wedge		-cymene)], 1	00 °C ∧	
Br 1a			2a	
entry	solvent (hydrogen donor)	base	time (h)	yield ^b (%)
1	F/T (5:2) + H_2O^c		12	46
2	F/T (5:2) + $H_2O^{c,d}$		12	trace
3	F/T (5:2) + $H_2O^{c,e}$		24	71
4	F/T (1:1) + H_2O^f		24	63
5	F/T (1:1) + $H_2O^{f,g}$		24	33
6	iPrOH	Cs_2CO_3	12	31
7	iPrOH	NaOAc	12	37
8	iPrOH	Et ₃ N	12	64
9	iPrOH	<i>t</i> BuOK	12	70
10	iPrOH	K ₂ CO ₃	12	79
11	iPrOH	КОН	12	58
12 ^h	iPrOH	K_2CO_3	12	34
13	iPrOH	CsOAc	12	89
14	iPrOH		12	NR
15 ^{<i>i</i>}	iPrOH	CsOAc	12	NR

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), catalyst (2.5 mol %), base (1.2 equiv), solvent (1 mL) at 100 °C. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}HCOOH/Et₃N (molar ratio 5:2, 0.5 mL) + H₂O (0.5 mL). ^{*d*}Rul₂(*p*-cymene)]₂ was used. ^{*e*}S mol % of catalyst. ^{*f*}HCOOH/Et₃N (molar ratio 1:1, 0.5 mL) + H₂O (0.5 mL). ^{*g*}RuCI₂(PPh₃)₃ was used. ^{*h*}At 90 °C. ^{*i*}Without catalyst.

mol %) and prolonged time (24 h) (entry 3). Changing the molar ratio of HCOOH/Et₃N to 1:1 did not lead to a better result (entry 4), and only 33% yield was obtained when 2.5 mol % of $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$ was used as the catalyst (entry 5). Considering that alcoholic species could be used both as solvent and hydrogen donor for transfer hydrogenation, the conditions by combination of 1 mL of iPrOH and 1.2 equiv of base were screened. Lower yields were obtained in systems with Cs_2CO_3 and NaOAc (entries 6 and 7) in comparison with the reaction in entry 1. However, notable promotion effects were observed with other bases including Et₂N (64%, entry 8), tBuOK (70%, entry 9), K₂CO₃ (79%, entry 10), and KOH (79%, entry 11). The results in entries 10 and 12 showed that the yield decreased dramatically when the reaction was run at a lower temperature, indicating heating at 100 °C is necessary for high yield. Among the reactions, the best result was achieved by reaction in iPrOH solvent with CsOAc as the additive, which afforded 2a in 89% yield (entry 13). Both Ru(II) catalyst and CsOAc additive are crucial for triggering the reaction, as no reaction was observed in the absence of Ru catalyst (entry 14) or base (entry 15). Therefore, 2.5 mol % of [RuCl₂(cymene)]₂ and 1.2 equiv of CsOAc in iPrOH solvent at 100 °C were established as the optimal conditions for the reduction of benzamide derivatives.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the Ru-catalyzed dehalogenation for a series of aryl halides bearing different electronic and steric properties was then expanded (Table 2). As expected, the reduction of the C–I bond is more efficient than the reduction of the C–Br bond in 2a, and 98% yield was obtained for reaction of 2iodobenzamide (entry 2). The position of the bromo substituent does not disturb the reaction, as both 3-bromoand 4-bromobenzamides could be reduced effectively (entries 3 and 4). The results in entries 5 and 6 suggested that introduction of an electron-donating group at C4 has a promoting effect, but the steric effect at C5 may reduce the reaction efficiency. Interestingly, the substituent on the nitrogen atom of the 2-bromobenzamide may have an influence on the reaction efficiency; thus, a higher yield was obtained for 2-bromo-N-ethylbenzamide than N-benzyl-2-bromobenzamide (entries 7 and 8). The debrominations of 2-bromo-Nphenylbenzamide and 2-bromo-N,N-dimethylbenzamide occurred more efficiently when 1.2 equiv of tBuOK was used instead of CsOAc (entries 9 and 10). The superiority of using tBuOK as an additive was also observed in reactions of other aryl halides as indicated in Table 2. Good yields were achieved for other amide derivatives such as 2-bromobenzenesulfonamide, 2-(2-bromophenyl)acetamide, and 6-bromo-2H-benzo-[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (entries 11–13). Similarly, the reaction of methyl 2-bromobenzoate afforded the debromination product in 75% yield (entry 14). The current reaction is compatible with both acidic and basic substrates; thus, good yields were obtained from brominated benzoic acid, indole, and aniline derivatives (entries 15–19). The relatively low yield for reaction of 3-bromopyridin-2-amine may be attributed to its strong chelating effect to Ru(II) catalyst (entry 20). The reaction works well for halogenated benzene, naphthalene, and 9H-fluorene substrates (entries 21-25), and quantitative yields were obtained in several cases. The substrate scope could be further expanded to chloro- or bromo-substituted anisoles, phenol, biphenyl, xylene, and others (entries 26-40), showing the compatibility of different functional groups in this transformation.

This dehalogenation reaction could also be applied to α halocarbonyl compounds (Table 3). The esters and amides containing both secondary (1b-d,f) and primary bromide (1e) at the α carbon of the carbonyl group undergo debromination reaction smoothly under current conditions. Dehalogenation of C-Cl and C-I bonds containing amide and esters was also tested. The yield for formation of 2f from amide 1g(X = Cl) is comparable to that from 1f(X = Br). Product 2h could be generated in good yields from reactions of ester derivatives 1h (X = Cl) and 1i (X = I), with the latter one being slightly more efficient. It should be noted that the debromination of α bromoketones are possible, but reduction of the carbonyl group occurs concurrently. Alkyl bromides such as (bromomethylene)dibenzene and (4-bromobutyl)benzene are not compatible because substitution reactions occur more easily than hydrodehalogenation in the presence of CsOAc. Controlled experiments found that no desired dehalogenation product could be obtained in absence of Ru(II) or base additive in cases of α halocarbonyl compounds.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this reaction, gramscale reactions were carried out (Scheme 2). When 4-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl was used (Scheme 2a), almost quantitative yields of debromination product could be achieved with low catalyst loadings (1 and 0.5 mol %), albeit longer reaction times are required for these cases. A gram-scale reaction could also be successfully applied for debromination of sterically more crowded substrate 2-bromo-3-methylbenzoic acid (Scheme 2b), which affords 3-methylbenzoic acid in 84% yield with 1 mol % of Ru(II) dimmer.

On the basis of previous reports,¹⁵ a plausible mechanism of this Ru(II)-catalyzed hydro-dehalogenation reaction is proposed (Scheme 3). Upon dissociation of the dimmeric ruthenium(II) catalyst, oxidative addition of the halide to Ru(II) monomer would generate a ruthenium(IV) complex A



^{*a*}Standard conditions: ArX (0.2 mmol), $[RuCI_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (2.5 mol %), CsOAc (1.2 equiv) in *i*PrOH (1 mL) for 20–24 h. ^{*b*}Values in parentheses and square brackets were determined by GC and GC–MS, respectively. All others are isolated yields. ^{*c*}*t*BuOK was used instead of CsOAc. ^{*d*}0.5 mL of HCOOH/Et₃N (molar ratio 1:1) + 0.5 mL of H₂O was used.

in the first step. This is probably the rate-determining step of the whole reaction because the reduction reactivity was found to follow the order of C–I > C–Br > C–Cl. Then, under the mediation of base additive, an isopropyloxide ligand could be introduced into intermediate **B** by reaction of **A** with the 2propanol solvent. From isopropyloxide intermediate **B**, β hydride elimination may afford the ruthenium(IV)-hydride complex **C** and an acetone concurrently. Finally, reductive elimination from **C** would form the hydro-dehalogenation product and regenerate the active Ru(II) species.

Although a full mechanistic investigation is not available at present, experimental support of the plausible mechanism above was achieved by reactions of **1a** and **1e** in monodeuterated *i*PrOH (*i*PrOH- d_1 , Scheme 4). The debromoation of 1a in *i*PrOH- d_1 was much slower and yielded only 33% of products 2a and 2a-*d* after 24 h (Scheme 4a), while the reaction of 1e afforded 2e and 2e-*d* in 81% yield after 18 h (Scheme 4b). These suggested that the transfer hydrogenation of aromatic and aliphatic C–Br bonds should have different kinetic properties. The ratio of the introduced deuterium was lower than expected, which should be resulted from reversible C–H bond cleavage in the debrominated products, as supported by observation of 2a-*d* when 2a was stirred in *i*PrOH- d_8 under the standard conditions (Scheme 4c). In short, the introduction of deuterium into products 2a-*d* and 2e-*d* in



 ${}^{a}K_{2}CO_{3}$ was used as a base by reaction at 100 °C for 18 h. ${}^{b}tBuOK$ was used as a base by reaction at 90 °C for 20 h.



Scheme 3. Plausible Mechanism



iPrOH- d_1 is consistent with the transfer of hydrogen from 2-propanol solvent.

3. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a simple and efficient hydrodehalogenation reaction of aryl halides and α -bromocarbonyl compounds by Ru(II) catalysis under transfer hydrogenation conditions. This method is featured with cheap and commercially available [RuCl₂(cymene)]₂ catalyst without any

Scheme 4. Experiments in Deuterated iPrOH



additional ligand, simple reaction conditions with 2-propanol acting both as the solvent and as the hydrogen source, broad substrate scope including a variety of aromatic halides and α -haloesters and amides, and efficient scaled-up synthesis with low catalyst loading. Novel transformations based on transfer hydrogenation are underway in our laboratory.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. The halides were purchased from commercially available resources and were used as received, except for the substrates in entries 5, 6, 9, and 12 in Table 2 and 1b-i in Table 3, which were synthesized according to literature methods as detailed below. Solvents were distilled by standard procedures. Column chromatography was performed using 200-300 mesh silica with the proper solvent system according to TLC analysis using UV light to visualize the reaction components. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer (¹H: 500 MHz, ¹³C: 125 MHz) using CDCl₃ as the solvent with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard at room temperature. Chemical shifts are given in δ relative to TMS, and the coupling constants J are given in hertz. Abbreviations used in the description of NMR data are as follows: s, singlet; br, broad; d, duplet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on an ESI-Q-TOF mass spectrometer. Melting points were measured on an X4 melting point apparatus.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Bromo-4-methylbenzamide (Entry 5, Table 2) and 2-Bromo-5-methylbenzamide (Entry 6, Table 2).¹⁶ To a flame-dried flask charged with a magnetic stir bar were added bromo-substituted benzonitrile (3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), tBuOK (1.0 g, 9.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and dry toluene (4 mL/ mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h under nitrogen atmosphere. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1/1) to give the desired products.

2-Bromo-4-methylbenzamide (entry 5, Table 2): white solid (612 mg, 57%); mp 174–176 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.78 (bs, 1H), 7.49 (bs, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.0, 140.7, 136.3, 132.9, 128.4, 128.0, 118.5, 20.3; HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₈H₈BrNONa⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) 235.9681, found 235.9693.

2-Bromo-5-methylbenzamide (entry 6, Table 2):¹⁷ white solid; mp 196–197 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (bs, 1H), 6.04 (bs, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H).

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Bromo-*N*-phenylbenzamide (Entry 9, Table 2).¹⁷ To a 20 mL flask charged with a magnetic stir bar was added aniline (5 mL) dropwise to 2-bromobenzoyl chloride (1.10 g, 5.0 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then the reaction mixture was poured into 30 mL of ethyl acetate and washed with 1 N aqueous HCl solution (20 mL \times 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL \times 1), and brine (20 mL \times 1). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulted solid was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5/1) to afford the desired product as a yellow solid: mp 121–122 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.64–7.62 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H).

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-(2-Bromophenyl)acetamide (Entry 12, Table 2).¹⁸ To a solution of 2-bromophenyl acetonitrile (1.10 g, 5.6 mmol) in *t*BuOH (5.5 mL) was added potassium hydroxide (1.26 g, 22.4 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 2 h, allowed to cool to room temperature, quenched with water (15 mL), and extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 30 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and recrystallized to give the target compound as determined by GC/MS.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 1b–d. To a solution of hexanoic acid (580.8 mg, 5 mmol), alcohol or amine (5 mmol), and DMAP (61.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 5 mL of DCM was added DIC (6 mmol, 0.93 mL) dropwise at 0 °C over 5 min. The reaction was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stir for 24–48 h. Then the mixture was poured into 30 mL of ethyl actate and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (15 mL × 1), saturated NH₄Cl (15 mL × 1), and saturated NaCl (15 mL × 1), respectively. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the desired products.

Phenethyl 2-bromohexanoate (**1b**, Table 3):¹⁹ colorless liquid; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 3H), 4.39 (td, *J* = 7.0, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.08–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.22 (m, 4H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

Benzyl 2-bromohexanoate (1c, Table 3):¹⁹ colorless liquid; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42–7.30 (m, 5H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 4.25 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.16–2.04 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.22 (m, 4H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

2-Bromo-N-methyl-N-phenylhexanamide (1d, Table 3):¹⁹ color-less liquid; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.08 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 2.20–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.33–1.15 (m, 4H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H).

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Bromo-N-methyl-N-phenylacetamide (1e, Table 3).^{20a} To a mixture of N-methylbenzenamine (0.55 mL, 5 mmol) in dichloromethane (40 mL) cooled at 0-5 °C was added a solution of 2-bromoacetyl bromide (0.5 mL, 5.72 mmol) in 20 mL of dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and cooled at 0-5 °C, and a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (40 mL) was added. The organic layer was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography to afford product 1e as a yellow solid: mp 39–41 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 3.31 (s, 3H).

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Bromo-N-methyl-N-phenylpropanamide (1f, Table 3).^{20b} 2-Bromopropionic acid (0.5 mL, 5.5 mmol) and N-methylbenzenamine (0.65 mL, 6 mmol) were dissolved in 13 mL of chloroform and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (0.95 mL, 6 mmol) in 3 mL of chloroform was added slowly through a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for over 1 h. The solid residue was filtered off and washed with chloroform. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography. The target was confirmed by GC/MS.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Chloro-N-methyl-Nphenylpropanamide (1g, Table 3).²⁰² 2-Chloropropionyl chloride (0.485 mL, 5 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of *N*-methylbenzenamine (0.54 mL, 5 mmol) and triethylamine (1.04 L, 7.5 mmol) in 35 mL of dichloromethane at 0 °C, and then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Next, an aqueous solution of HCl (1 M; 15 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated, washed with water (15 mL) and brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography to afford product **1g** as a faint yellow liquid: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 1.58 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H).

Procedure for the Synthesis of Phenethyl 2-Chloropropanoate (1h, Table 3).^{21a} 2-Chloropropionyl chloride (0.534 mL, 5.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of phenethyl alcohol (0.6 mL, 5 mmol) and pyridine (0.44 mL, 5.5 mmol) in 8 mL of dichloromethane at 0 °C, and then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Next, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, and an aqueous solution of HCl (1 M; 15 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated, washed with water (15 mL) and brine (15 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography to afford product **1h** as a colorless liquid: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32–7.22 (m, 5H), 4.43–4.33 (m, 3H), 2.98 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.0, 137.3, 128.9, 128.6, 126.7, 66.3, 52.5, 34.9, 21.5.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Phenethyl 2-lodopropanoate (1i, Table 3).^{21b} To a stirred solution of sodium iodide (1.5 g, 10 mmol) in acetone (5 mL) was added phenethyl 2-chloropropanoate (1.06g, 5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C overnight, and then the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography to afford product 1i as a faint yellow liquid: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.21 (m, 5H), 4.46 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.30 (m, 2H), 2.97 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.8, 137.4, 129.0, 128.6, 126.7, 66.2, 34.7, 23.3, 12.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₄IO₂⁺ ([M + H]⁺) 305.0038, found 305.0042.

Typical Procedure for the Ru(II)-Catalyzed Dehalogenation. To a flame-dried Schlenk tube charged with a magnetic stirring bar were added $[RuCl_2(cymene)]_2$ (3.1 mg, 0.005 mmol), CsOAc (46.1 mg, 0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), or *t*BuOK (26.9 mg, 0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), halide (0.2 mmol), and 2-propanol (1 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 20–24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature before being filtered over silica gel, and then the filtrate was concentrated in vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc or cyclohexane/dichloromethane/EtOAc) to give the desired dehalogenation products. For reactions that lead to volatile products, an internal standard (dodecane or toluene) was added to the cooled mixture, and the yields were calculated by GC/GC–MS analysis, as indicated in the related tables.

calculated by GC/GC–MS analysis, as indicated in the related tables. *Benzamide* (*entries* 1–4, *Table* 2):^{22a} eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 1/1; white solid (entry 1: 21.5 mg, 88%; entry 2: 23.8 mg, 98%; entry 3: 20.3 mg, 83%; entry 4: 22.7 mg, 93%); mp 125–127 °C; ¹H NMR (entry 1, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (bs, 2H); ¹³C NMR (entry 1, Table 2, 125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.7, 133.5, 132.0, 128.6, 127.4.

¹H NMR (entry 2, Table 2, 500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.82 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.26 (bs, 2H).

¹H NMR (entry 3, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.18 (bs, 2H).

¹H NMR (entry 4, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.18 (bs, 2H).

4-Methylbenzamide (entry 5, Table 2):¹⁶ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 1/1; white solid (26.2 mg, 96%); mp 158–161 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.07 (bs, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 167.8, 141.0, 131.5, 128.7, 127.5, 20.9.

3-Methylbenzamide (entry 6, Table 2):¹⁶ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 1/1; white solid (19.9 mg, 74%); mp 90-93 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.93 (bs, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.68 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.0, 137.4, 134.3, 131.7, 128.0, 124.6, 20.9.

N-Ethylbenzamide (entry 7, Table 2):^{22b} eluent dichloromethane/ EtOAc = 20/1; white solid (27.8 mg, 93%); mp 62–65 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.48 (bs, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.33–3.24 (m, 2H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 165.9, 134.7, 130.97, 128.2, 127.1, 34.0, 14.8.

N-Benzylbenzamide (entry 8, Table 2):^{22b} eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 3/1; white solid (32.7 mg, 78%); mp 102–105 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.08 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 166.2, 140.0, 134.3, 131.2, 128.3, 128.3, 127.2, 127.2, 126.7, 42.6.

N-Phenylbenzamide (entry 9, Table 2):^{22c} eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 8/1; white solid (35.4 mg, 89%); mp 160–162 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.26 (s, 1H), 8.01–7.90 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.63–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 165.5, 139.2, 135.0, 131.5, 128.6, 128.3, 127.6, 123.6, 120.3.

N,*N*-Dimethylbenzamide (entry 10, Table 2).^{22b} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 2/1; light yellow oil (27.4 mg, 93%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42–7.39 (m, 5H), 3.11 (s, 3H), 2.98 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.7, 136.3, 129.5, 128.3, 127.0, 39.6, 35.3.

Benzenesulfonamide (entry 11, Table 2):^{22d} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 2/1; white solid (25.0 mg, 79%); mp 150–152 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz; DMSO- d_6) δ 7.88–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.36 (bs, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz; DMSO- d_6) δ 144.2, 131.7, 128.9, 125.6.

2-Phenylacetamide (entry 12, Table 2):^{22e} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1/1; white solid (24.0 mg, 90%); mp 91–93 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 3H), 5.90 (bs, 1H), 5.44 (bs, 1H), 3.57 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7, 134.9, 129.4, 129.1, 127.4, 43.3.

2*H*-Benzo[*b*][1,4]oxazin-3(4*H*)-one (entry 13, Table 2):^{22f} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 4/1; white solid (21.4 mg, 72%); mp 170–173 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.70 (*s*, 1H), 7.03–6.83 (m, 4H), 4.56 (*s*, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 164.9, 143.2, 127.2, 123.0, 122.3, 116.1, 115.8, 66.7.

*Benzoic acid (entry 15, Table 2):*²³ eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5/1; white solid (19.6 mg, 78%); mp 122–124 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.89 (bs, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.3, 133.8, 130.2, 129.4, 128.5.

3-Methylbenzoic acid (entry 16, Table 2):²³ eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5/1; white solid (25.4 mg, 93%); mp 105–108 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.81 (bs, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 138.3, 134.6, 130.7, 129.3, 128.4, 127.4, 21.3.

1H-Indole (entries 17 and 18, Table 2):²⁴ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 40/1; white solid (entry 17:16.6 mg, 70%; entry 18:15.3 mg, 65%); mp 47–49 °C; ¹H NMR (entry 17, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (bs, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.07 (m, 2H), 6.55–6.50 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (entry 17, 125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.8, 127.9, 124.2, 122.0, 120.8, 120.0, 111.1, 102.6.

¹H NMR (entry 18, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (bs, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.08 (m, 1H), 6.60–6.52 (m, 1H).

Pyridin-2-amine (entry 20, *Table 2*):²⁵ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 1/5; light yellow oil (7.5 mg, 40%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 7.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (ddd, *J* = 7.2, 5.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.4, 148.1, 137.8, 114.0, 108.6. *9H-Fluorene (entry 25, Table 2):*^{26a} eluent petroleum ether; white solid (33.1 mg, >99%); mp 108–111 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.3, 141.7, 126.8, 125.1, 119.9, 37.0.

1,1'-Biphenyl (entries 36 and 37, Table 2):^{26b} eluent petroleum ether; white solid (entry 36: 29.3 mg, 96%; entry 37: 29.8 mg, 96%); mp 66–68 °C; ¹H NMR (entry 36, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (entry 36, 125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.3, 128.8, 127.3, 127.2. ¹H NMR (entry 37, Table 2, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, J = 7.8

Hz, 4H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H). *Phenethyl hexanoate* (**2b**):^{27a} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc =

Phenethyl hexanoate (**2b**):²⁷³ eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 80/1; colorless liquid (34.5 mg, 78%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.18 (m, 3H), 4.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.66–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.34–1.21 (m, 4H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8, 137.9, 128.9, 128.5, 126.5, 64.7, 35.2, 34.3, 31.3, 24.6, 22.3, 13.9.

Benzyl hexanoate (2c):^{27a} eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 80/1; colorless liquid (27.2 mg, 66%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 2.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.71–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.24 (m, 4H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7, 136.2, 128.6, 128.2, 128.2, 66.1, 34.3, 31.3, 24.7, 22.3, 13.9.

N-Methyl-N-phenylhexanamide (**2d**):^{27b} eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 15/1; colorless liquid (16.9 mg, 43%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.13–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.13 (m, 4H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 144.3, 129.7, 127.7, 127.3, 37.3, 34.1, 31.5, 25.3, 22.4, 13.9.

N-Methyl-N-phenylacetamide (**2e**):²⁸ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 10/1; white solid (24.0 mg, 82%); mp 94–95 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 144.7, 129.7, 127.7, 127.1, 37.1, 22.3.

N-Methyl-N-phenylpropionamide (2f):²⁸ eluent petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 10/1; white solid (2f from 1f: 17.6 mg, 54%; 2f from 1g: 17.0 mg, 51%); mp 49–52 °C; ¹H NMR (2f from 1f, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.11–2.06 (m, 2H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.9, 144.3, 129.7, 127.6, 127.3, 37.3, 27.5, 9.7.

¹H NMR (**2f** from **1g**, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.08 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

Phenethyl propionate (2*h*):²⁹ eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc = 50/1; colorless liquid (2*h* from 1*h*: 24.9 mg, 67%; 2*h* from 1*i*: 25.1 mg, 71%); ¹H NMR (2*h* from 1*h*, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42–7.17 (m, SH), 4.29 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (q, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 137.9, 128.9, 128.5, 126.5, 64.8, 35.2, 27.6, 9.1.

¹H NMR (**2h** from **1i**, 500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.37–7.16 (m, 5H), 4.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b02222.

¹H and ¹³NMR spectra for isolated compounds and GC/ GC-MS analysis for volatile products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xyz@wzu.edu.cn.

ORCID [©]

Yuanzhi Xia: 0000-0002-7125-269X

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NSFC (21372178 and 21572163) for financial support. T.Y. is supported by the Graduate Innovation Foundation of Wenzhou University (3162015037). Z.W. is supported by the Scientific Innovation Program for University Students of Zhejiang Province (2016R426061).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Castro, C. E. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1998, 155, 1.
(b) Mitoma, Y.; Nagashima, S.; Simion, C.; Simion, A. M.; Yamada, T.; Mimura, K.; Ishimoto, K.; Tashiro, M. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2001, 35, 4145.

(2) (a) Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1047.
(b) Alonso, F.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Yus, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 4009.
(c) Nguyen, J. D.; D'Amato, E. M.; Stephenson, C. R. J. Reduction of Alkenyl Halides to Alkenes, and of Aryl Halides and Related Compounds to Arenes. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II, 2nd ed.; Knochel, P., Molander, G. A., Eds.; Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014; Vol. 8, pp 1123.

(3) (a) Ferrughelli, D. T.; Horváth, I. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 0, 806. (b) Hara, T.; Mori, K.; Oshiba, M.; Mizugaki, T.; Ebitani, K.; Kaneda, K. Green Chem. 2004, 6, 507. (c) Monguchi, Y.; Kume, A.; Hattori, K.; Maegawa, T.; Sajiki, H. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7926. (d) Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Niembro, S.; Oliván, M.; Orzechowski, L.; Pelayo, C.; Vallribera, A. Organometallics 2010, 29, 4375.

(4) (a) Massicot, F.; Schneider, R.; Fort, Y.; Illy-Cherrey, S.; Tillement, O. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 4765. (b) Yang, J.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 12656. (c) Desmarets, C.; Kuhl, S.; Schneider, R.; Fort, Y. Organometallics **2002**, *21*, 1554. (d) Hara, R.; Sato, K.; Sun, W.-H.; Takahashi, T. Chem. Commun. **1999**, 845.

(5) (a) Chelucci, G. Tetrahedron Lett. **2010**, 51, 1562. (b) Viciu, M. S.; Grasa, G. A.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics **2001**, 20, 3607. (c) Arcadi, A.; Cerichelli, G.; Chiarini, M.; Vico, R.; Zorzan, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 2004, 3404. (d) Navarro, O.; Marion, N.; Oonishi, Y.; Kelly, R. A., III; Nolan, S. P. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 685. (e) Chen, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, L.; Zhang, X.; Liu, J.; Li, L.; Zhang, H. Tetrahedron **2007**, 63, 4266. (f) Iranpoor, N.; Firouzabadi, H.; Azadi, R. J. Organomet. Chem. **2010**, 695, 887. (g) Pyo, A.; Kim, S.; Kumar, M. R.; Byeun, A.; Eom, M. S.; Han, M. S.; Lee, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **2013**, 54, 5207.

(6) (a) Fujita, K.-I; Owaki, M.; Yamaguchi, R. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 2964. (b) Lázaro, G.; Polo, V.; Fernández-Alvarez, F. J.; García-Orduña, P.; Lahoz, F. J.; Iglesias, M.; Pérez-Torrente, J. J.; Oro, L. A. *ChemSusChem* 2015, *8*, 495.

(7) (a) Guo, H.; Kanno, K.; Takahashi, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *33*, 1356. (b) Czaplik, W. M.; Grupe, S.; Mayer, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. Chem. Commun. **2010**, *46*, 6350. (c) Zhang, Z.; Cissoko, N.; Wo, J.; Xu, X. J. Hazard. Mater. **2009**, *165*, 78.

(8) (a) Cucullu, M. E.; Nolan, S. P.; Belderrain, T. R.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1299. (b) Bényei, A. C.; Lehel, S.; Joó, F. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1997, 116, 349.

(9) (a) Kuhl, S.; Schneider, R.; Fort, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 341. (b) Desmarets, C.; Kuhl, S.; Schneider, R.; Fort, Y. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1554. (c) Mochizuki, K.; Suzuki, M. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2011, 14, 902. (d) Chan, K. S.; Liu, C. R.; Wong, K. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 2728.

(10) (a) Saidi, O.; Marafie, J.; Ledger, A. E. W.; Liu, P. M.; Mahon, M. F.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Whittlesey, M. K.; Frost, C. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19298. (b) Zheng, L.; Ju, J.; Bin, Y.; Hua, R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5794. (c) Zhou, Z.; Liu, G.; Shen, Y.; Lu, X. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1161. (d) Warratz, S.; Kornhaaß, C.; Cajaraville,

A.; Niepötter, B.; Stalke, D.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2015, 54, 5513. (e) Tan, H.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, L. Chem. - Eur. J.
2015, 21, 1904. (f) Wu, J.; Xu, W.; Yu, Z.-X.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2015, 137, 9489. (g) Zhang, W.; Wei, J.; Fu, S. M.; Lin, D.; Jiang,
H.; Zeng, W. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1349.

(11) Yu, Q.; Hu, L.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, S.; Huang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15284.

(12) Teskey, C. J.; Lui, A. Y. W.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11677.

(13) (a) Wang, L.; Ackermann, L. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1083.
(b) Chinnagolla, R. K.; Pimparkar, S.; Jeganmohan, M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 3146.
(c) Voskressensky, L. G.; Golantsov, N. E.; Maharramov, A. M. Synthesis 2016, 48, 615.

(14) (a) Li, F.; Wang, N.; Lu, L.; Zhu, G. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3538. (b) Soltani, O.; Ariger, M. A.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2893. (c) Zhang, B.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4712.

(15) Navarro, O.; Kaur, H.; Mahjoor, P.; Nolan, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3173.

(16) Midya, G. C.; Kapat, A.; Maiti, S.; Dash, J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4148.

(17) Long, Y.; She, Z.; Liu, X.; Chen, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2579.
(18) Larraufie, M.-H.; Maestri, G.; Beaume, A.; Derat, É.; Ollivier, C.;
Fensterbank, L.; Courillon, C.; Lacôte, E.; Catellani, M.; Malacria, M.
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12253.

(19) Chen, J.; Guo, W.; Wang, Z.; Hu, L.; Chen, F.; Xia, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 5504.

(20) (a) Cappelli, A.; Bini, G.; Valenti, S.; Giuliani, G.; Paolino, M.; Anzini, M.; Vomero, S.; Giorgi, G.; Giordani, A.; Stasi, L. P.; Makovec, F.; Ghelardini, C.; Di Cesare Mannelli, L.; Concas, A.; Porcu, P.; Biggio, G. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 7165. (b) Hurst, T. E.; Gorman, R. M.; Drouhin, P.; Perry, A.; Taylor, R. J. K. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 14063. (c) Suzuki, K.; Okano, K.; Nakai, K.; Terao, Y.; Sekiya, M. Synthesis 1983, 1983, 723.

(21) (a) McGovern, T. P.; Beroza, M.; Ladd, T. L.; Ingangi, J. C.; Jurimas, J. P. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1970**, *63*, 1727. (b) Yasuda, M.; Kiyokawa, K.; Osaki, K.; Baba, A. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 132.

(22) (a) Owston, N. A.; Parker, A. J.; Williams, J. M. J. Org. Lett.
2007, 9, 3599. (b) Bai, C.; Yao, X.; Li, Y. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 884.
(c) Seo, H.-A.; Cho, Y.-H.; Lee, Y.-S.; Cheon, C.-H. J. Org. Chem.
2015, 80, 11993. (d) Moriyama, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Togo, H. Org. Lett.
2014, 16, 3812. (e) Gupta, M. K.; Li, Z.; Snowden, T. S. Org. Lett.
2014, 16, 1602. (f) Ramesh, C.; Raju, B. R.; Kavala, V.; Kuo, C. W.;
Yao, C.-F. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 1187.

(23) Murray, A. T.; Matton, P.; Fairhurst, N. W. G.; John, M. P.; Carbery, D. R. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3656.

(24) Huang, B.; Tian, H.; Lin, S.; Xie, M.; Yu, X.; Xu, Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 2861.

(25) Orlandi, M.; Tosi, F.; Bonsignore, M.; Benaglia, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3941.

(26) (a) Xu, L.; Yang, W.; Zhang, L.; Miao, M.; Yang, Z.; Xu, X.; Ren, H. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9206. (b) Fodor, A.; Hell, Z.; Pirault-Roy, L. Catal. Lett. 2016, 146, 596.

(27) (a) Tian, J.; Gao, W.-C.; Zhou, D.-M.; Zhang, C. Org. Lett.
2012, 14, 3020. (b) Yang, C. C.; Tai, H. M.; Sun, P. J. Synlett 1997, 1997, 812.

(28) Li, Y.; Ma, L.; Jia, F.; Li, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5638.

(29) Tian, J.; Gao, W.-C.; Zhou, D.-M.; Zhang, C. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3020.

NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

In Table 2 entries 1–4, the X substituent was removed from the structure for products; in the first sentence of the Experimental Section bromides was changed to halides, this reposted on January 12, 2017.